

**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS
SOUTHERN DISTRICT**

Steven L. Reed, Petitioner)	
vs)	
)	Case No. SD28747
Brenda M. Cirtin, City Clerk,)	
City of Springfield, Respondent)	
)	

**APPALANTS MOTION \SUPPLYING EVIDANCE OF ERROR BY CIRCUIT
COURT AND REQUEST THE CASE GO DIRECTLY TO THE STATE
SUPREME COURT REQUESTING EMERGENCY RELIEF**

COMES NOW, Appellant Steven L. Reed hereby submits errors made by Circuit Court **Case No. 107CC1310.** Court Reporter Marilyn Dulabad, CRS, CCR, RMR stated in a letter dated January 9, 2008 and mailed to this Court of Appeals and Appellant Reed, that she shows there was “no stenographic records made in this case”. This seems to show the Court did not have a proper legal hearing and so that alone is enough to send the case to the State Supreme Court.

Appellant Reed points out that he is a Pro See and is filed as a poor Person in this Appeal Process. Appellant Reed ask for a little consideration in dotting every “i” and “t” because he in essence is representing all 150,000 people in Springfield who are not allowed to write in candidates for Springfield City or School Board elections. During the over one hour hearing Honorable Judge J. M. Sweeny, (who handled the case until his retirement), said that he thinks write-ins should be allowed. Judge Sweeny said he may or may not rule in Appellant’s Reed’s favor, but more that likely he would recommend that Reed pursue taking it to the State Supreme Court because it is in their area of ruling such a case an. After hearing that all other cities and counties allow write

in candidates the Judge said that the State Supreme Court would be the one to really decide this case and the Attorney at the hearing for the City of Springfield agreed.

Appellant Reed not only feels the City of Springfield may be in violation or in non compliance of state law concerning elections, (and may not even exist according to state statutes), but Greene County not having a Court Reporter at a hearing that lasted for over an hour itself is enough reason to send this case directly to the State Supreme Court.

Clearly Appellant wishes he would have taken an audio recorder himself the day of the hearing and feels that this is a travesty of justice which could be even more serious for people appearing in court concerning family or other matters. Even though Appellant took some pre-law classes at School of the Ozarks he does not claim to be a lawyer, “but clearly this Civil Action concerning elections is owned by the People”. The relief sought is to have a special Court Ordered Election (to make the City whole again with State Law), and to allow write-in candidates and let the public vote for such candidates in the future. So Appellant feels some leeway is needed here to allow this case to move forward.

Sincerely, Steven L. Reed

Certificate of Service

I certify that on _____ a true copy of the above was delivered and or mailed, and or faxed to the Missouri Court of Appeals Southern District 300 Hammons Parkway, Suite 300 Springfield, Missouri 65806-2546 Fax 417-895-6817 and Attorneys for the City of Springfield listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven L. Reed

Steven L. Reed, Petitioner Pro Se

Springfield MO 65804

(417)

stevenlloydreed@hotmail.com

David R. Wichmer, Esq.

City Attorney

City of Springfield

840 Boonville

Springfield , MO 65802

Attorney for the Defendants City of Springfield , MO ,

e-mailed to the following is hereby completed on this date:

Thomas E. Rykowski, MO Bar 31243 Assistant City Attorney, **Treat, Tina**

(TTreat@ci.springfield.mo.us)

Daniel R. Wichmer dwichmer@ci.springfield.mo.us